Operational amplifiers (Op amps)

Recall the basic two-port model for an amplifier. It has three components: input resistance, $R_i$, output resistance, $R_o$, and the open-loop voltage gain, $A_o$.

It is essential to specify all three parts of the model — when we attach an input source and an output load, the voltage developed across the load depends very much on $R_i$ and $R_o$, as well as $A_o$.

$$v_o = \left[ \frac{R_i}{R_i + R_s} A_o \frac{R_L}{R_L + R_o} \right] v_s$$
To minimize the effects of the input and output voltage dividers, we might try to make the input resistance be as big as possible — in the limit it would be open circuit — and the output resistance be as small as possible — in the limit it would be a short circuit.

\[ v_o = \left[ \frac{R_i}{R_i + R_s} A_o \frac{R_L}{R_L + R_o} \right] v_s \quad \rightarrow \quad R_i \to \infty, R_o \to 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_o = A_o v_s \]

Operational amplifiers (op amps, for short) are a class of amps that come close to meeting these input and output resistance extremes. We can define an *ideal op amp* as one having truly infinite input resistance and zero output resistance. No real op amp meets these specifications, but typical devices come close enough that we can use the ideal as reasonable starting point.
Op amps have a third distinguishing feature — a very large value for $A_o$. In the ideal case, the open-loop gain is assumed to be infinitely large! This would seem to cause some conceptual (and practical) difficulties, since then if $v_d = v_S$ had any finite value, the output would go to infinity. The only way to keep the output from “blowing up” would be to have $v_S = 0$. This all seems a little odd.

If $A_o \to \infty$ then $v_o \to \infty$.

Before we see how to handle the business of infinite gain, let’s list the properties of an ideal op amp.

1. Infinite input resistance, $R_i \to \infty$. (An open circuit.)
2. Zero output resistance, $R_o = 0$. (A short circuit.)
3. Infinite open-loop gain, $A_o \to \infty$. 

![Diagram](image-url)
Ground in electronic circuits

In contrast to earlier circuits that we have analyzed, electronic circuits always have a specified node defined to be ground. Before now, the only time we invoked a ground node was when using the node-voltage analysis. Then we would choose a node to be ground \((v = 0)\) in order to reduce the number of unknowns. An electronic circuit always requires one or more DC power supplies in order to energize the circuits in the chips. The presence of the power supply allows us to define one connection of the supply to be the ground for the entire circuit. We will discuss the role of the power supplies later, but the idea of a single, pre-defined ground does have an immediate effect our op amp model. The output voltage of most (but not all) op amps is defined with respect to the ground node rather than as the difference between two isolated output leads.

Most op amps have this “differential input, single-ended output” configuration, although there are some differential output versions. Using the defined ground changes the output side of the model as shown.

Single-ended output.
Feedback – non-inverting

Now we are ready to tame the infinite-gain issue. Of course, the trick is to use feedback. In fact, we have already done it — refer back to the feedback notes. In the circuit at right, known as a non-inverting amplifier, we have used a standard voltage-divider feedback loop together with the “almost ideal” op amp.

In drawing the circuit, we have made use of two bits of standard schematic nomenclature — the triangle symbol for the amplifier and the copious use of ground symbols. All the points with ground symbols form a single node. We could have connected all the ground points with wires, but the resulting schematic would have been almost un-intelligible. And this is a very simple electronic circuit. In more involved applications (EE 230), the schematics will have ground symbols all over the place.
Finally, we can get to the circuit analysis. First we note that $R_S$ and $R_L$ are essentially irrelevant. Since no current flows into the positive input terminal, there is no voltage drop across $R_S$ and $v_+ = v_s$. Since $R_L$ is connected directly to the dependent source, $v_o = A_o v_d$, no matter what value $R_L$ may have.

\[ v_o = A_o v_d \]

\[ i_{R2} = i_{R1} \rightarrow \frac{v_o - v_f}{R_1} = \frac{v_f}{R_2} \rightarrow v_f = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} v_o \]

(Duh. It’s a voltage divider.)

\[ v_d = v_+ - v_f = v_s - v_f \]

Put it all together to write $v_o$ in terms of $v_s$.

\[ v_o = \left[ \frac{A_o}{1 + A_o \left( \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} \right)} \right] v_s \]

It looks like a classic feedback result.
\[ v_o = \frac{A_o}{1 + A_o \left( \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} \right)} v_s \]

Re-arranging slightly.

\[ v_o = \frac{R_2 + R_1}{R_1} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{A_o} \left( \frac{R_2 + R_1}{R_1} \right)} = G_o v_s \quad G_o \text{ is the closed-loop gain.} \]

If \( A_o \) is really big (approaching infinity)

\[ A_o \to \infty, \quad G_o \to \frac{R_2 + R_1}{R_2} = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \]

Even though \( A_o \) is huge, it has essentially disappeared from the final result. This is just a repeat of the feedback results seen earlier.

We note again a key aspect of feedback by calculating \( v_- \):

\[ v_- = v_f = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} v_o = \left( \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2} \right) \left( \frac{R_1 + R_2}{R_1} \right) v_s = v_s = v_+ \]

The feedback forces the difference signal to be zero: \( v_d = v_+ - v_- = 0 \). The negative feedback has forced the negative input to have the same voltage as the positive input.
Feedback – inverting

Here is another amplifier circuit using feedback. The feedback network is less obvious, but it is negative feedback since the output is tied back to the negative input. A bit of circuit analysis leads to the closed-loop gain.

\[
v_o = A_o v_d = -A_o v_-
\]

\[
i_{R1} = i_{R2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{v_s - v_-}{R_1} = \frac{v_- - v_o}{R_2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_o = -\frac{R_2}{R_1} v_s + \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_-
\]

Inserting \(v_- = -v_o/A_o\) and re-arranging,

\[
v_o = \left[ -\frac{R_2}{R_1} \right] = G_o v_s \quad G_o \text{ is the closed-loop gain.}
\]
\[ v_o = \left[ -\frac{R_2}{R_1} \right] = G_o v_s \]

If \( A_o \) is really big

\[ A_o \to \infty, \quad G_o \to -\frac{R_2}{R_1} \]

We can calculate \( v_- \):

\[ v_- = \frac{v_o + \frac{R_2}{R_1} v_s}{1 + \frac{R_2}{R_2}} = 0 \]

Once again, we see that the feedback forces the inputs to have the same voltage. In this case, \( v_- = v_+ = 0 \). This is interesting — even though \( v_- \) is not directly connected to ground, the perfect feedback has caused it to have the same value as \( v_+ \), which is connected to ground. This is known as a virtual ground.
The op-amp “rules”.

Our observation that $v_- = v_+$ in these two examples suggests a more efficient means for analyzing op amp circuits. Instead of using the two-port model with a gain of $A_o$, finding an expression for closed-loop gain that includes $A_o$, and then letting $A_o \to \infty$, we can “skip the middleman” and assume at the outset that negative feedback will force $v_- = v_+$. Then we can calculate the ideal gain expression directly. Now we have two simple rules to use for analyzing ideal op amps:

1. $i_+ = i_- = 0$
2. $v_- = v_+$, when there is a negative feedback loop.

Each “rule” derives from one aspect of the ideal op amp

1. $R_i \to \infty$: no input currents.
2. $A_o \to \infty$: perfect feedback, $v_- = v_+$

The third aspect of the ideal op amp, $R_o = 0$, will come into play when we connect a load to the output or made make a cascaded arrangement where one op amp circuit follows another.
Non-inverting amp — redux

Now let’s re-examine the non-inverting and inverting feedback amplifier configurations using the op amp rules.

Note that $v_+ = v_s$. Write a node-voltage equation at the inverting input terminal.

$$\frac{v_o - v_-}{R_2} = \frac{v_-}{R_1} + i_-$$

Apply the op amp rules: $i_- = 0$ and $v_- = v_+ = v_s$.

$$\frac{v_o - v_s}{R_2} = \frac{v_s}{R_1}$$

$$v_o = \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)v_s$$

$$G_o = \frac{v_o}{v_s} = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \quad \text{So simple!}$$

Since we are not explicitly including $A_o$ in the analysis, we can use a more compact symbol for the op amp. This is the standard — i.e. most typical — amp symbol.
Inverting amp – redux

Same basic approach. Note that $v_+ = 0$.

Write a node-voltage equation at the inverting input terminal.

$$\frac{v_s - v_-}{R_1} = \frac{v - v_o}{R_2} + i_-$$

Apply the op amp rules: $i_- = 0$ and $v_- = v_+ = 0$ (virtual ground)

$$\frac{v_s}{R_1} = \frac{-v_o}{R_2}$$

$$v_o = \left(-\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right)v_s$$

$$G_o = \frac{v_o}{v_s} = -\frac{R_2}{R_1}$$

The negative sign is what make this “inverting”.
General approach for solving circuits with ideal op amps

In principle, any of the 201 circuit analysis techniques are viable when solving op-amps.

• Because of the ground defined by the power supplies, the node-voltage technique is almost always useful.

• Mesh currents might be applicable, but the fact that no current flows in the input leads can making finding useful meshes tricky. (Save mesh current for EE 303.)

• With multiple inputs, superposition may be useful.

• Voltage dividers are always useful. Because no current flows in the input leads, we can apply voltage dividers directly to the inputs.

• Usually, writing a node-voltage equation at the output is not useful, because we can’t know the output current.

• Be careful with the idea of the virtual short (or virtual ground). It is NOT a true short circuit in regards to currents.

• Generally, write some NV equations at the inputs, set $v_+ = v_-$ and see what happens.
Example 1 – non-inverting

Hmmm. Try writing node-voltage equations at each of the inputs.

\[
\frac{v_o - v_-}{R_2} = \frac{v_-}{R_1} + i_-
\]

\[
\frac{v_s - v_+}{R_a} = \frac{v_+}{R_b} + i_+
\]

First, apply \( i_+ = i_- = 0 \):

\[
\frac{v_o - v_-}{R_2} = \frac{v_-}{R_1} \quad \rightarrow \quad v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_-
\]

\[
\frac{v_s - v_+}{R_a} = \frac{v_+}{R_b} \quad \rightarrow \quad v_+ = \left( \frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b} \right) v_s
\]

Non-inverting amp result.

A simple voltage divider.

Next \( v_+ = v_- \):

\[
v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_- = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_+ = \left( \frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_s
\]

The product of two simple results.
Example 2 – inverting

How about this? Will it be the product of a voltage divider and an inverting gain? We should proceed carefully — write two node voltage equations.

\[
\frac{v_s - v_x}{R_a} = \frac{v_x}{R_b} + \frac{v_x - v_-}{R_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_x - v_-}{R_1} = \frac{v_- - v_o}{R_2} + i_-
\]

Apply the op amp rules: \(i_- = 0\) and \(v_- = v_+ = 0\) (virtual ground)

\[
\frac{v_s - v_x}{R_a} = \frac{v_x}{R_b} + \frac{v_x}{R_1} \quad \rightarrow \quad v_x = \frac{v_s}{1 + \frac{R_a}{R_b} + \frac{R_a}{R_1}} \quad \text{A voltage divider, of sorts}
\]

\[
\frac{v_x}{R_1} = \frac{-v_o}{R_2} \quad \rightarrow \quad v_o = -\left(\frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_x \quad \text{Inverting amp.}
\]

Put the two results together:

\[
v_o = \left(\frac{-\frac{R_2}{R_1}}{1 + \frac{R_a}{R_b} + \frac{R_a}{R_1}}\right) v_s \quad \text{Not difficult, but not what we might have guessed at the outset.}
\]
Example 3 – inverting

Wow — messing with the feedback path. Maybe this is impossible to solve? Nope. It’s quite easy — just apply the rules. Start with two node-voltage equations:

\[
\frac{v_s - v_-}{R_1} = \frac{v_- - v_x}{R_2} + i_-
\]

\[
\frac{v_- - v_x}{R_2} = \frac{v_x}{R_3} + \frac{v_x - v_o}{R_4}
\]

Same rules as the previous example: \( i_- = 0 \) and \( v_- = v_+ = 0 \).

\[
\frac{v_s}{R_1} = \frac{-v_x}{R_2} \quad \rightarrow \quad v_x = -\left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_s
\]

\[
\frac{-v_x}{R_2} = \frac{v_x}{R_3} + \frac{v_x - v_o}{R_4} \quad \rightarrow \quad v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_4}{R_2} + \frac{R_4}{R_3} \right) v_x
\]

Put it together: \( v_o = -\left( 1 + \frac{R_4}{R_2} + \frac{R_4}{R_3} \right) \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_s \quad \text{Piece of cake.} \)
Example 4 – non-inverting

Non-inverting amp with something attached to the output. Find $v_{Rb}$ in team of $v_s$.
A couple of node-voltage equations gives:

$$\frac{v_o - v_-}{R_2} = \frac{v_-}{R_1} + i_- \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_o - v_{Rb}}{R_a} = \frac{v_{Rb}}{R_b}$$

Apply the op amp rules to the left equation: $i_- = 0$ and $v_- = v_+ = v_s$.

$$\frac{v_o - v_s}{R_2} = \frac{v_s}{R_1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_o = \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_s \quad \text{Usual on-inverting amp.}$$

$$\frac{v_o - v_{Rb}}{R_a} = \frac{v_{Rb}}{R_b} \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_{Rb} = \left(\frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b}\right) v_o \quad \text{A simple voltage divider.}$$

$$v_o = \left(\frac{R_b}{R_a + R_b}\right) \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_s \quad \text{Again, the product of two simple results. Result is identical to Example 1!}$$
Summing amp

Something new — a summing amp. It looks a bit like an inverting amp, but with some extra inputs. Since there are multiple inputs, we cannot calculate a single gain for the circuit, but we can calculate $v_o$ as a function of the various inputs.

Node voltage at inverting input:

$$i_{R1} + i_{R2} + i_{R3} = i_f + i_- \rightarrow \frac{v_{S1} - v_-}{R_1} + \frac{v_{S2} - v_-}{R_2} + \frac{v_{S3} - v_-}{R_3} = \frac{v_- - v_o}{R_f} + i_-$$

Apply the op amp rules: $i_- = 0$ and $v_- = v_+ = 0$ (virtual ground)

$$\frac{v_{S1}}{R_1} + \frac{v_{S2}}{R_2} + \frac{v_{S3}}{R_3} = \frac{-v_o}{R_f}$$

$$v_o = -\frac{R_f}{R_1} v_{S1} - \frac{R_f}{R_2} v_{S2} - \frac{R_f}{R_3} v_{S3}$$

The circuit scales (weights) the inputs and sums them. Math! The inverting input is a “summing node”. Useful in many applications.
Difference amp

If we can add, perhaps we can also subtract. Find \( v_o \) as function of the two inputs.

Write NV equations at \( v_- \) and \( v_+ \).

\[
\frac{v_a - v_+}{R_3} = \frac{v_+}{R_4} + i_+ \\
\frac{v_b - v_-}{R_1} = \frac{v_- - v_o}{R_2} + i_- 
\]

Apply the op amp rules: \( i_- = i_+ = 0 \) and \( v_+ = v_- \).

\[
v_+ = \left( \frac{R_4}{R_3 + R_4} \right) v_a \\
v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_- - \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_b = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) \left( \frac{R_4}{R_3 + R_4} \right) v_a - \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_b
\]

Input \( v_a \) is scaled, input \( v_b \) is scaled, and then the output is the difference between those two quantities.
Difference amp (con’t)

\[ v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) \left( \frac{R_4}{R_3 + R_4} \right) v_a - \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_b \]

This is an interesting result, but it becomes even more interesting if we play around with the resistors a bit. Start by re-arranging:

\[ v_o = \left( 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) \left( \frac{R_4}{1 + R_4/R_3} \right) v_a - \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_b \]

If we choose the resistors such that \( R_4/R_3 = R_2/R_1 \) then the expression reduces to:

\[ v_o = \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) (v_a - v_b) \]

The circuit takes the difference between the two inputs and amplifies it. It suppresses *common* voltages and enhances *difference* voltages. For example, suppose \( v_a = 10.05 \text{ V} = 10 \text{ V} + 0.05 \text{ V} \) and \( v_b = 9.95 \text{ V} = 10 \text{ V} - 0.05 \text{ V} \). The two inputs have a common voltage of 10 V and the difference is 0.1 V. If we apply these signals to a difference amp with \( R_4/R_3 = R_2/R_1 = 10 \), the output will be 1 V. The large common voltage has no effect — only the difference is amplified. Diff amps are useful in sensor applications.
Short-hand notation for voltage sources.

Because electronic circuits always have a pre-defined ground, it really isn’t necessary to draw all the sources. Instead, we use as a short-hand notation and simply label source or supply voltages at a particular node assuming that the indicated voltage is with respect to ground. The simplifies drawing and reading electronic circuit schematics.
Power supplies

As mentioned previously, an op amp needs DC power supplies in order to function. In a sense, an op-amp is a power conversion device — it takes power from the DC and converts it to extra power added to the signal as it flows from input to output. Without DC supplies, the amp is just a dead element squatting in the middle of the circuit.

There are two typical supply configurations for op amps: 1) two supplies — one positive and one negative, usually matched — with ground defined as the common point between them, or 2) a single positive supply with ground. The op amp will have pins for connecting to the supplies. Op amp (usually) do not have a pin that connects to ground, but in the single-supply case, the negative supply pin will be connected to ground. The single supply case could be viewed as having $V_{S-} = 0$.

\[ v_+ \quad + \quad v_- \quad - \quad v_o \quad + \quad V_{S+} > 0 \]

\[ V_{S-} < 0 \]

\[ v_+ \quad + \quad v_- \quad - \quad v_o \quad + \quad V_{S+} > 0 \]
Including power supplies in the schematic become unwieldy, especially in circuits with multiple amps. Usually, the amps will share the same power supplies. If we want to show power supply connections explicitly, we can use the short-hand notation introduced earlier, where we simply label the voltages at the nodes.

More typically, the power supply connections are not shown at all, as we did in all of the earlier examples. It is assumed that if the op amps are working, there must be power supplies available to make that happen.
Combinations of op amps

There are four basic op-amp configurations: non-inverting, inverting, summing, difference. (The other examples are variations on these.)

- **Non-inverting:**
  
  \[
  v_o = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \cdot v_S 
  \]
  
  \[G = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\]

- **Inverting:**
  
  \[
  v_o = -\frac{R_2}{R_1} \cdot v_S 
  \]
  
  \[G = -\frac{R_2}{R_1}\]

- **Summing:**
  
  \[
  v_o = -\frac{R_f}{R_1} \cdot v_{S1} - \frac{R_f}{R_2} \cdot v_{S2} 
  \]

- **Difference:**
  
  \[
  v_o = \frac{R_2}{R_1} \left(v_a - v_b\right) 
  \]

  If balanced \((R_4/R_3 = R_2/R_1)\), then

\[
\]
We can mix and match the basic configurations to achieve all sorts of circuit functions. The combining of op amp circuits works well because of the third feature of an ideal op amp: zero output resistance. With no output resistance, we can (in principle) connect anything to the output and not have to worry about mismatched input and output resistors affecting the voltage. If $R_o = 0$, the voltage divider ratio is always 1.

Consider the simple cascaded pair of amp circuits shown below.

![Cascaded Op Amp Circuit](image)

Because of the ideal output resistance, the voltage gains can be considered piecemeal.

\[
\begin{align*}
  v_x &= \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_s \\
  v_o &= \left(-\frac{R_4}{R_3}\right)\left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_s = -40.2 \cdot v_s
\end{align*}
\]

The load resistor is irrelevant.
Swapping the order of the two amps doesn’t change anything.

\[ v_x = -\frac{R_2}{R_1} v_s \]

Still irrelevant.

\[ v_o = \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) v_x \]

\[ v_o = \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right) \left(-\frac{R_4}{R_3}\right) v_s = -40.2 \cdot v_s \]
Example 5

Find $v_o$ in terms of $v_x$ and $v_y$. The circuit looks scary, but if we recognize the pieces and take them one at a time, it’s not so bad.

non-inverting $v_a = \left(1 + \frac{R_4}{R_3}\right)v_x = 7.8 \cdot v_x$

inverting $v_b = -\frac{R_2}{R_1}v_y = -3.73 \cdot v_y$

balanced difference amp

$v_o = \frac{R_6}{R_5} (v_a - v_b) = 12 (v_a - v_b)$

$v_o = 12 \left[7.8 \cdot v_x - (-3.73 \cdot v_y)\right] = 93.6 \cdot v_x + 44.8 \cdot v_y$
Example 6

For the circuit below, calculate the total gain, $G = \frac{v_o}{v_S}$.

At first glance this looks like an inverting amp followed by a non-inverting. But what is going on with $R_2$? On further consideration, we realize that the left amp is actually a summing amp with feedback resistor $R_3$, and two input, $v_S$ (through $R_1$) and $v_o$ (!!) through $R_2$. Once we see that, the analysis is easy.

\[ v_a = -\frac{R_3}{R_1} \cdot v_S - \frac{R_3}{R_2} \cdot v_o \]
\[ = -10 \cdot v_S - 1.79 \cdot v_o \]

\[ v_o = 5.7 \left(-10 \cdot v_S - 1.79 \cdot v_o\right) \]
\[ \Rightarrow \frac{v_o}{v_S} = -5.09 \]
Example 7

Devise a circuit with ideal op-amps and resistors that will take three inputs, \( v_a, v_b, v_c \) and combine them to produce an output voltage according to the function, \( v_o = -6.67 \cdot v_a + 12 \cdot v_b - 3 \cdot v_c \).

There are many possible solutions. It looks like a three-input summing amp would come close, except for the positive middle term. A way to handle that would be to invert \( v_b \) first before feeding it to the summing amp. The circuit below should work.

![Diagram of the circuit](image)

\[ v_o = \frac{-R_f}{R_a} \cdot v_a + \left( \frac{R_f}{R_b} \right) \left( \frac{R_2}{R_1} \right) v_b - \frac{R_f}{R_c} \cdot v_c \]

After some trial-and-error in choosing resistors, the following set seems to work: \( R_f = 10 \, \text{k}\Omega, R_a = 1.5 \, \text{k}\Omega, R_c = 3.3 \, \text{k}\Omega, R_2 = 1.2 \, \text{k}\Omega, R_1 = 1 \, \text{k}\Omega, \) and \( R_b = 1 \, \text{k}\Omega \).